


STATINTL



STAT

*for
8 mar*

3. Contract file



March 3, 1963

Image Enhancer

As of Wed. Feb. 27.

(with )

STAT

On week previous (Tues Feb 19) machine was in process of assembly for delivery. Only lacked some covers, panels and engraving. Samples of output not very good image quality, although enhancement features were there.


2/27

By Wed. machine was completely assembled ready for inspection, acceptance and packaging for shipment. Samples of output still of unacceptable image quality. Trouble appeared to be in shearing of the image causing small scale distortions which greatly affected resolution. Cause seemed to be in random triggering of a scan line. Also line width did not appear to have sufficiently fine resolving power.


STATINTL

STAT

STAT

☐ to get best possible output by Fri for  to take East for evaluation and decision. However, ☐ expressed no approach which ^{to} improve quality.

STAT

Principal contacts: *TUES FEB 19 & WED FEB 27*

STATINTL

From past experience I know these men are technically competent but they seem beat down by this problem. The objective of the machine is certainly a difficult one and has required more money and time. Perhaps they are embarrassed to ask for additional money and time to solve this aspect or perhaps they have worked themselves out of enthusiasm.

STATINTL



DECLASS REVIEW by NIMA/DOD